ISSN 2072-5981



# aénetic Resonance in Solids

**Electronic Journal** 

# Volume 13, No. 2 pages 21-26 2011

<u>http://mrsej.ksu.ru</u>



Established and published by Kazan University Sponsored by International Society of Magnetic Resonance (ISMAR) Registered by Russian Federation Committee on Press, August 2, 1996 First Issue was appeared at July 25, 1997

© Kazan Federal University (KFU)\*

"Magnetic Resonance in Solids. Electronic Journal" (MRSej) is a peer-reviewed, all electronic journal, publishing articles which meet the highest standards of scientific quality in the field of basic research of a magnetic resonance in solids and related phenomena. MRSej is free for the authors (no page charges) as well as for the readers (no subscription fee). The language of MRSej is English. All exchanges of information will take place via Internet. Articles are submitted in electronic form and the refereeing process uses electronic mail. All accepted articles are immediately published by being made publicly available by Internet (Editor@ksu.ru).

# Editors-in-Chief

Jean **Jeener** (Universite Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels) Boris **Kochelaev** (KFU, Kazan) Raymond **Orbach** (University of California, Riverside)

## **Editors**

Vadim Atsarkin (Institute of Radio Engineering and Electronics, Moscow) Detlef Brinkmann (University of Zürich, Zürich) Yurij Bunkov (CNRS, Grenoble) John Drumheller (Montana State University, Bozeman) Mikhail Eremin (KFU, Kazan) Yoshio Kitaoka (Osaka University, Osaka) Boris Malkin (KFU, Kazan) Haruhiko Suzuki (Kanazawa University, Kanazava) Murat Tagirov (KFU, Kazan)

*Executive Editor* Yurii **Proshin** (KFU, Kazan) *Editor@ ksu.ru* 

\*

In Kazan University the Electron Paramagnetic Resonance (EPR) was discovered by Zavoisky E.K. in 1944.

### Obtaining spatial structure of cyclosporine (CsA) in chloroform using 2D NMR

A.Y. Manin\*, S.V. Efimov, V.V. Klochkov

Kazan Federal University, Kremlevskaya, 18, Kazan 420008, Russia \*E-mail: anton.manin@live.ksu.ru

(Received August 15, 2011; revised November 12, 2011; accepted November 25, 2011)

In this work the cyclic peptide cyclosporine was investigated. Signal assignment was made according to 2D NMR spectra. Using NOESY spectra and computer simulation the spatial structure was also obtained.

PACS: 82.56.Dj, 82.56.Ub, 87.64.-t, 87.14.ef.

Keywords: high-resolution NMR, structure determination by NMR, spectroscopic techniques in biophysics and medical physics.

#### 1. Introduction

We have chosen cyclosporine (CsA) as an object for our research (CsA is one of the possible modifications of cyclosporine, for simplicity we will name it further simply as cyclosporine). Cyclosporine is a cyclic peptide which is used as immunosuppressive medication in transplantation. In cells, cyclosporine binds with the protein cyclophilin and, as a result, stops the reaction chain that is responsible for the immune response [1-3]. This peptide is poorly dissolved in water (23  $\mu$ M at 25°C), but in organic solvents its solubility is high [4]. Chloroform was used as a starting point in our studies, which we suppose to develop further by employing residual dipolar couplings measured in chloroform-based media. On the other hand, it is an example of an apolar solvent. Comparison of the cyclosporine's structure and behavior in such a medium with the situation in different polar media (organic solvents mixed with water, micellar solutions) is of interest. The concentration of our sample was 17 mM.

The aim of our work was to analyze 1D and 2D NMR spectra, and to obtain spatial structure of cyclosporine using the structure calculation. We intend to obtain spatial parameters with high precision.

#### 2. Experimental results and discussion

Schematic structure of cyclosporine molecule is shown in Fig. 1.





#### Obtaining spatial structure of cyclosporine (CsA) in chloroform using 2D NMR

Let's consider main spectra that were used for the assignment of NMR signals.

At first, we used selective TOCSY spectra. Amide protons were excited, and as a result, we observed individual subspectra of the corresponding amino acids. Besides, we recorded 2D TOCSY which yielded information about all signals of a certain amino acid. Due to this fact, it was used as the main method for assignment.

We obtained signals of carbons with the help of HSQC spectra and measured chemical shifts of attached protons. That method helped us in making assignment.

Also we employed an important experiment called HMBC. A peak of an N-methyl group within the *i*-th residue, lying in the region of carbonyl resonances (chemical shift range  $\delta(^{13}C) = 170...174$  ppm), points to the CO group of the residue in position (*i* – 1). Each cross-section at the chemical shift of an H $\alpha$  atom contains two signals of carbonyl groups: that of the residue with concerned H $\alpha$  atom and of the preceding one. Moreover, if we connect (H $\alpha$ ,CO)-signals with each other and obtain the cycle, we can prove that the assignment is correct. This is illustrated in Fig. 2.



**Figure 2**. HMBC (<sup>1</sup>H 500.13 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C 125.76 MHz) spectrum of cyclosporine in chloroform at T = 293 K. Region of correlations between C = O and NCH<sub>3</sub> groups is shown.

Having made the analysis of 1D and 2D NMR spectra, we obtained the chemical shifts of all protons, which you can see in Tab. 1.

At the next step we had to obtain the distances between protons. For this purpose, we recorded a set of NOESY spectra with different mixing times. Cross-peaks were integrated, and obtained intensities were normalized by the diagonal peaks. It was plotted as a function of the mixing time. The slopes of

obtained curves gave the cross-relaxation rates. Then we used correlation  $\sigma_{pq} = \sigma_{ij}^0 \left(\frac{r_{pq}}{r_{ij}^0}\right)^{-6}$ , where  $r_{ij}^0$ 

is the reference distance between two nonequivalent  $\alpha$ -protons of Sar assumed to be 1.75 Å. After this calculation, interproton distances were obtained. They are listed in Tab. 2. below.

Amino acid residue				Chemical shifts, ppm			
MeBmt	NCH <sub>3</sub> 3.52	α CH 5.5	β CH 3.81	γ CH 1.62	δ CH <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>3</sub> 0.71, 2.02	ε CH 5.34	ζ CH, CH <sub>3</sub> 4.02, 1.03
Aba	NH 8.0	α CH 5.03	β CH <sub>2</sub> 1.61, 1.64	γ CH <sub>3</sub> 0.87			
Sar	NCH <sub>3</sub> 3.41	α CH 4.73, 3.2					
Mle4	NCH <sub>3</sub> 3.12	α CH 5.35	β CH <sub>2</sub> 1.97	γ CH 1.64	δ CH <sub>3</sub> 0.87, 0.85		
Val	NH 7.49	α CH 4.66	β CH 2.43	γ CH <sub>3</sub> 1.08, 0.89			
Mle6	NCH <sub>3</sub> 3.26	α CH 4.97	β CH <sub>2</sub> 2.07	γ CH 1.4	δ CH <sub>3</sub> 1.04, 1.01		
Ala	NH 7.67	α CH 4.54	β CH <sub>3</sub> 1.36				
Dal	NH 7.18	α CH 4.84	β CH <sub>3</sub> 1.26				
Mle9	NCH <sub>3</sub> 3.12	α CH 5.71	β CH <sub>2</sub> 2.14	γ CH 1.24	δ CH <sub>3</sub> 0.96, 0.88		
Mle10	NCH <sub>3</sub> 2.71	α CH 5.08	β CH <sub>2</sub> 2.07, 1.28	γ CH 1.28	δ CH <sub>3</sub> 0.95, 0.88		
Mva	NCH <sub>3</sub> 2.7	α CH 5.13	β CH 2.15	γ CH <sub>3</sub> 0.81, 0.98			

 Table 1. Proton chemical shifts.

Res name	Atom name	Res name	Atom name	Dist, Å
Aba	На	Aba	NH	$3.2 \pm 0.2$
Aba	Hb	Aba	На	$2.53 \pm 0.15$
Ala	На	Ala	NH	$2.52 \pm 0.14$
Bmt	На	Aba	NH	$2.1 \pm 0.1$
Bmt	Hb	Bmt	На	$2.1 \pm 0.1$
Bmt	Hd	Aba	Hb2	$2.31 \pm 0.12$
Dal	На	Dal	NH	$2.99 \pm 0.24$
Dal	Hb	Dal	На	$1.92 \pm 0.09$
Mle10	На	Mle9	На	$1.9 \pm 0.3$
Mle10	Hb	Mle10	На	$2.43 \pm 0.16$
Mle10	Hg	Mle10	На	$2.6 \pm 0.2$
Mle10	Hg	Mle10	Hb	$1.54 \pm 0.07$
Mle4	На	Val	Hb	$2.47 \pm 0.13$
Mle4	Hb	Mle4	На	$2.36 \pm 0.17$
Mle4	Hg	Mle4	На	$1.9 \pm 0.2$
Mle4	Hg	Val	Hb	$1.63 \pm 0.07$
Mle6	На	Ala	NH	$1.8 \pm 0.4$
Mle6	Hb	Mle6	На	$2.47\pm0.12$
Mle6	Hg	Mle6	На	$2.38\pm0.08$
Mle6	Hg	Ala	На	$1.97\pm0.09$
Mle6	Hg	Mle6	Hb	$1.87\pm0.08$
Mle9	Hb	Mle9	На	$2.85 \pm 0.39$
Mle9	Hg	Mle9	На	$2.0 \pm 0.1$
Mva	Hb	Mva	На	$2.49 \pm 0.13$
Mva	Hg1	Mva	Hb	$2.0 \pm 0.1$
Mva	Hg2	Mva	Hb	$2.33 \pm 0.15$
Sar	Ha2	Sar	Ha1	$1.8 \pm 0.1$
Val	На	Val	NH	$2.08 \pm 0.11$
Val	Hb	Val	На	$2.59 \pm 0.21$
Val	Hg1	Val	Hb	$2.21 \pm 0.11$
Val	Hg2	Val	Hb	$2.5 \pm 0.2$

 Table 2. Distance restraints, derived from the NOESY spectra.

Knowledge of chemical shifts and distances between protons allowed us to use structure calculation and to get the structure of the cyclosporine molecule, which we are interested in. For this purpose, we used DYNAMO package [5], which is widely used for structural researches.

Obtained spatial structure of cyclosporine with RMSD =  $1.4 \pm 0.4$  Å for backbone can be seen in Fig. 3.



Figure 3. Averaged spatial structure of cyclosporine in chloroform after final energy minimization.

As can be seen, there are only few interresidual NOESY cross-peaks. The reason for this is that the cyclosporine molecule adopts an elongated form, where residues are situated like in a beta-strand or a random coil. These structures are known to produce little or no interresidual NOEs. Only in the loop regions we can seen close contacts between nonadjacent amino acids. We can thus find out the loops, but cannot obtain a single best structure by means of molecular dynamics. That's the explanation for relatively high RMSD of the ten selected backbones (Fig. 4).



Figure 4. Backbones of 10 lowest energy structures.

#### 3. Summary

According to obtained spectra and signal assignment we got the spatial structure of cyclosporine in chloroform and will use this information in our further research.

#### References

- Hsu V.L., Heald S.L., Harding M.W., Handschumacher R.E., Armitage I.M. Biochem. Pharm. 40, 131 (1990)
- Weber C., Wider G., Freyberg B., Traber R., Braun W., Widmer H., Wuthrich K. *Biochemistry* 30, 6563 (1991)
- Fesik S.W., Gampe R.T., Eaton H.L., Gemmecker G., Olejniczak E.T., Neri P., Holzman T.F., Egan D.A., Edalji R., Simmer R., Helfrich R., Hochlowski J., Jackson M. *Biochemistry* 30, 6574 (1991)
- 4. Yingqing R., Luwei Z., Qing X., Yalkowsky S.H. AAPS PharmSciTech 2, 23 (2001)
- 5. Delaglio F., Grzesiek S., Vuister G., Zhu G., Pfeifer J., Bax A. J. Biomol. NMR 6, 277 (1995)